Case 1:07-cv-05138-AKH Document	1 Filed 05/15/2007 Page 1 of 11	
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	21 MC 100 (AKH)	
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION	21 MC 100 (AKII)	
KAREN MATTIOLO AND PHILIP MATTIOLO	DOCKET NO.	
Plaintiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SHORT FORM") COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE	
- against -	MASTER COMPLAINT	
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,	PLAINTIFF(S) DEMAND A TRIAL BY JURY	
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,		
Defendants.		
By Order of the Honorable Alvin K. Hellerstein, United States District Judge, dated June 22, 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Complaints for all Plaintiffs were filed on August 18, 2006.		
NOTICE OF ADOPTION		
All headings and paragraphs in the Master Complaint are applicable to and are adopted by the instant $Paintiff(s)$ as if fully set forth herein in addition to those paragraphs specific to the individual $Paintiff(s)$ , which are listed below. These are marked with an ' $\square$ '' if applicable to the instant $Paintiff(s)$ , and specific case information is set forth, as needed, below.		
Plaintiffs, KAREN MATTIOLO AND PHILII GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, com	P MATTIOLO, by his/her/their attorneys WORBY aplaining of Defendant(s), respectfully allege:	
I. PAR	RTIES _	
A. PLAIN	NTIFF(S)	

2. Alternatively, \( \sum\_{\text{ is the }} \) of Decedent \_\_\_\_\_ of Decedent \_\_\_\_\_\_ and brings this claim in his (her) capacity as of the Estate of \_\_\_\_\_\_

(OR)

and a citizen of New York residing at 11 Glengariss Road, Massapequa, NY 11762-0000.

☑ Plaintiff, KAREN MATTIOLO (hereinafter the "Injured Plaintiff"), is an individual

3. Plaintiff, Philip Mattiolo (hereinafter the "De	
York residing at 11 Glengariss Road, Massapequa, NY 11762-0 the Injured Plaintiff:  SPOUSE at all relevant times herein, is an	nd has been lawfully married to Plaintiff erivative action for her (his) loss due to the fe), Plaintiff KAREN MATTIOLO.
4. In the period from 9/11/2001 to 12/1/2001 the Ing Police Department (NYPD) as a Police Officer at:	jured Plaintiff worked for New York
Please be as specific as possible when filling in the	following dates and locations
Approxir	Barge or about; mately hours per day; for mately days total.
Approximately _20_ days total.  The New York City Medical Examiner's Office From on or about until,  at a second of the second of t	For injured plaintiffs who worked at C Site building or location. The injured worked at the address/location, for the eged, for the hours per day, for the total of the employer, as specified below:
From on or about _11/1/2001_ until 12/1/2001; Approximately _12_ hours per day; for Approximately _6_ days total.  Approximately _6_ days total.	or about until; mately hours per day; for mately days total; d Address of Non-WTC Site /Worksite:
*Continue this information on a separate sheet of paper if nece "Other" locations, please annex a separate sheet of	
5. Injured Plaintiff	
✓ Was exposed to and breathed noxious fun above;	
Was exposed to and inhaled or ingested to dates at the site(s) indicated above;	oxic substances and particulates on all
Was exposed to and absorbed or touched the site(s) indicated above;	toxic or caustic substances on all dates at
✓ Other: Not yet determined	

6.

Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to §405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.  Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to §
Made a claim to the Victim Companyation Fund that was denied. Durguent to 8
405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

## B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☐ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
☐ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	INC.
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
$\Box$ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC.
$\square$ More than thirty days have passed and	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR)	CORPORATION
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i> ) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
☐ is pending	☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
☐ Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☐ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
☐ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
☐ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC. ☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
adjusted this claim	☑ DAROTA DEMO-TECH ☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND FORT EXCAVATING CORT
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC. ☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
=======================================	☑ DATE ENTERPRISE, INC.
☐ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
□ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	CORP
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
□ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
☐ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC.
□ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EN-TECH CORP
□ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	□ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	✓EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☑ ROBERT L GEROSA, INC

✓ RODAR ENTERPRISES, INC.

✓ ROYAL GM INC.

☑ SAB TRUCKING INC.

✓ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC. ☐ OTHER:

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

✓ YONKERS CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.

☑ WSP CANTOR SEINUK GROUP

☑ YANNUZZI & SONS INC

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

## 

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	Business/Service Address:
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	<u> </u>
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

## Case 1:07-cv-05138-AKH Document 1 Filed 05/15/2007 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

Stabil remov	val jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28  III CAUSES  Plaintiff(s) seeks damages against the above	Jurisdi ut the U.S.C S <b>OF</b>	iction, (or);  Other (specify): Court has already determined that it has C. § 1441.
<b>V</b>	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	<b>V</b>	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
<b>V</b>	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		<ul> <li>✓ Air Quality;</li> <li>✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided;</li> <li>✓ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided</li> </ul>
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:);  ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined
<b>V</b>	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
		V	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff

Other: \_

## Case 1:07-cv-05138-AKH Document 1 Filed 05/15/2007 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury: <u>N/A.</u>			Cardiovascular Injury: N/A.
	Date of onset:			Date of onset:
	Date physician first connected this injury to			Date physician first connected this injury
	WTC work:			to WTC work:
$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	Respiratory Injury: Chronic Cough;		<b>√</b>	Fear of Cancer
	Dyspnea; Respiratory Problems; Sore			Date of onset: <u>10/1/2006</u>
	Throat			Date physician first connected this injury
	Date of onset: <u>9/1/2006</u>			to WTC work: To be supplied at a later
	Date physician first connected this injury to			date
	WTC work: To be supplied at a later date			<u> </u>
	Digestive Injury: N/A.		<b>√</b>	Other Injury: N/A.
	Date of onset:			Date of onset:
	Date physician first connected this injury to			Date physician first connected this injury
	WTC work:			to WTC work:
	NOTE: The foregoing is NOT an exhau	ıst	ive list	of injuries that may be alleged.
2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the Ground Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer the following compensable damages:				
==== <b>V</b>	Pain and suffering	==	====	
$\checkmark$	Loss of the enjoyment of life			
V	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity			
V	Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits			
$\checkmark$	Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation			

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

 $\checkmark$ 

Other:

✓ Mental anguish✓ Disability

✓ Medical monitoring

☑ Other: Not yet determined.

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

**WHEREFORE**, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York April 25, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Karen Mattiolo and Philip Mattiolo

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of

perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the

plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other

than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief

are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the

file.

DATED: New York, New York

April 25, 2007

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docket No:	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
=======	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
	KAREN MATTIOLO (AND WIFE, PHILIP MATTIOLO),
	Plaintiff(s)
	- against -
	A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,
	Defendant(s).
	SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT
	WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP  Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s)  Office and Post Office Address, Telephone  115 Broadway - 12th Floor  New York, New York 10006  (212) 267-3700
	To Attorney(s) for
	Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted.
	Dated,
	Attorney(s) for
PLEAS	SE TAKE NOTICE:
	TICE OF ENTRY that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20  FICE OF SETTLEMENT that an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON.